

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD  
SEVENTH REGION**

**CENTRAL INTERIORS, INC.**

**Employer**

**and**

**Case 7-RC-22470**

**LOCAL 16, OPERATIVE PLASTERERS' AND CEMENT  
MASONS' INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THE  
UNITED STATES AND CANADA, AFL-CIO**

**Petitioner**

**and**

**LOCAL 9, INTERNATIONAL UNION OF BRICKLAYERS  
AND ALLIED CRAFTWORKERS, AFL-CIO**

**Intervenor<sup>1</sup>**

**APPEARANCES:**

Eric Frankie, Attorney, of Detroit, Michigan, for the Petitioner.

John Adam, Attorney, of Southfield, Michigan, for the Intervenor.

**DECISION AND DIRECTION OF ELECTION**

Upon a petition duly filed under Section 9(c) of the National Labor Relations Act, as amended, a hearing was held before a hearing officer of the National Labor Relations Board.

---

<sup>1</sup> At hearing, Petitioner challenged Intervenor's standing to intervene. Intervenor's motion to intervene is based on its Section 8(f) agreement with the Michigan Chapter Associated General Contractors of America, Inc. (AGC), of which the Employer is a member. The contract is effective by its terms from May 15, 2000 through May 14, 2003. In addition, the Intervenor entered into evidence an addendum to its 1997-2000 agreement with AGC. The addendum is between the Intervenor and the Employer, and was effective August 1, 1998 through July 31, 2001, with a provision allowing the addendum to roll over absent notice by either party. Testimony established that the Intervenor gave notice of termination to the Employer, and the parties are currently in negotiations. I find that the Intervenor demonstrated its interest in this proceeding, and the hearing officer properly granted the motion to intervene. Casehandling Manual, Part Two, Representation Proceedings, § 11022.1(d).

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 3(b) of the Act, the Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to the undersigned.

Upon the entire record in this proceeding,<sup>2</sup> the undersigned finds:

1. The hearing officer's rulings made at the hearing are free from prejudicial error and are hereby affirmed.
2. The Employer is engaged in commerce within the meaning of the Act, and it will effectuate the purposes of the Act to assert jurisdiction herein.<sup>3</sup>
3. The labor organizations<sup>4</sup> involved claim to represent certain employees of the Employer.
4. A question affecting commerce exists concerning the representation of certain employees of the Employer within the meaning of Section 9(c)(1) and Sections 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

The Petitioner is currently recognized as the bargaining representative of a unit of approximately five full-time and regular part-time plasterers employed by the Employer working at or out of its facility located at 5490 Nasser Street, Flint, Michigan, in certain areas of Michigan; but excluding all other employees, and guards and supervisors as defined in the Act. It desires certification under the Act. The Intervenor argues that an election is barred by Petitioner's contract with the Employer, agreeing to be bound by the terms of Petitioner's form contract entitled "Plasterers 2002-2004 Agreement entered into between Flint Area Contractors and Local #16" (FAC contract). In addition, the Intervenor contends that the contract bars an election because the unit in which the Petitioner seeks an election is not co-extensive with its existing unit.

---

<sup>2</sup> The Petitioner and Intervenor filed briefs, which were carefully considered.

<sup>3</sup> The Employer did not participate in the hearing, and therefore the remaining parties were unable to stipulate to the Board's jurisdiction over the Employer. According to the record, the Employer submitted to the Regional Office prior to the hearing a completed questionnaire on commerce indicating that during calendar year 2002, it purchased materials or services directly from outside the state of Michigan valued in excess of \$50,000, and derived gross revenues in excess \$1,000,000. Accordingly, I find that the Employer is engaged in commerce within the meaning of the Act and that it is appropriate to assert jurisdiction.

<sup>4</sup> Although the parties were unable to stipulate as to the labor organization status of the Petitioner and the Intervenor because the Employer was not present at the hearing, I take administrative notice of the fact that both the Petitioner and the Intervenor have been found to be labor organizations in numerous other cases with the Board. The record also establishes that both the Petitioner and the Intervenor represent employees, have negotiated collective bargaining agreements on behalf of those employees they respectively represent, and employees participate in both organizations.

For the reasons set forth below, I find there is no contract bar to the instant petition because the Petitioner is the recognized bargaining agent of the employees covered by the contract and may petition for certification during the term of its own contract. In addition, I find that the fact the Petitioner is seeking to represent a larger unit than it currently represents does not preclude Petitioner from seeking certification.

The Employer is engaged in the building and construction industry performing plastering work within the state of Michigan. On October 29, 2002, the Employer signed the FAC contract covering plastering work performed in the Flint area.<sup>5</sup> FAC is not a multi-employer association. However, the Petitioner and other employers have signed the agreement. The agreement is effective by its terms through May 31, 2004, with a provision allowing the contract to roll over absent notice by either party.

The Intervenor contends that the Petitioner's contract with the Employer serves as a bar to the instant petition. However, it is well established that an employer's recognition of, and current contract with, a petitioning union does not bar a petition for certification by that union. **Duke Power**, 173 NLRB 240 (1969). A recognized bargaining agent is entitled to the benefits of certification. *Id.*; **General Box Co.**, 82 NLRB 678 (1949). Although Intervenor argues that the timing of the filing of the instant petition—over one year prior to the expiration of the contract—should preclude the Petitioner from seeking the benefits of certification, the Board will entertain a petition filed by a voluntarily recognized union desiring certification at any time during the contract term. *Id.*

In addition, the Intervenor argues that the unit in which the Petitioner seeks an election goes beyond the existing geographical unit and, because it is not co-extensive with its existing unit, this should preclude the Petitioner from relying on **General Box**. In other words, the Petitioner is seeking an election in a unit comprised of employees covered by Petitioner's contract and some employees who are not covered and, thus, the Intervenor argues, the contract should bar the election. A contract, however, cannot bar an election as to employees to which the contract does not apply. **Duke Power**, supra at 240-241. Accordingly, I find that the Petitioner's contract with the Employer is not a bar to the instant petition.

5. Based on the foregoing, and the record as a whole, I find that the following employees constitute an appropriate unit for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of Section 9(b) of the Act:

---

<sup>5</sup> The contract covers work performed in all of Genesee and Shiawassee counties, and the northeastern portion of Livingston county, north of Highway M-59, including Deerfield, Tyrone, Osceola, and Hartland Townships.

All full-time and regular part-time plasterers employed by the Employer working at or out of its facility located at 5490 Nasser Street, Flint, Michigan; but excluding all other employees, and guards and supervisors as defined in the Act

Those eligible to vote shall vote as set forth in the attached Direction of Election.<sup>6</sup>

### **DIRECTION OF ELECTION**

An election by secret ballot shall be conducted under the direction and supervision of the undersigned among the employees in the unit(s) found appropriate at the time and place set forth in the notice of election to be issued subsequently, subject to the Board's Rules and Regulations. Eligible to vote are those employees in the unit(s) who were employed during the payroll period ending immediately preceding the date of this Decision, including employees who did not work during that period because they were ill, on vacation, or temporarily laid off. Also eligible to vote are all employees who have been employed for 30 working days or more within the 12 months preceding the eligibility date or if they have had some employment in those 12 months and have been employed for 45 working days or more within the 24-month period immediately preceding the eligibility date. Ineligible are those employees who had been terminated for cause or quit voluntarily prior to the completion of the last job for which they were employed. Employees engaged in any economic strike, who have retained their status as strikers and who have not been permanently replaced are also eligible to vote. In addition, in an economic strike which commenced less than 12 months before the election date, employees engaged in such strike who have retained their status as strikers but who have been permanently replaced, as well as their replacements, are eligible to vote. Those in the military service of the United States may vote if they appear in person at the polls. Ineligible to vote are employees who have quit or been discharged for cause since the commencement thereof and who have not been rehired or reinstated before the election date and employees engaged in an economic strike which commenced more than 12 months before the election date and who have been permanently replaced. Those eligible shall vote whether or not they desire to be represented for collective bargaining purposes by:

**LOCAL 16, OPERATIVE PLASTERERS' AND CEMENT MASONS'  
INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA,  
AFL-CIO**

**or**

**LOCAL 9, INTERNATIONAL UNION OF BRICKLAYERS AND ALLIED  
CRAFTWORKERS, AFL-CIO**

**or**

**NO UNION**

### **LIST OF VOTERS<sup>7</sup>**

In order to ensure that all eligible voters may have the opportunity to be informed of the issues in the exercise of their statutory right to vote, all parties to the election should have access to a list of voters and their addresses which may be used to communicate with them. *Excelsior Underwear, Inc.*, 156 NLRB 1236 (1966); *NLRB v. Wyman-Gordon Company*, 394 U.S. 759 (1969); *North Macon Health Care Facility*, 315 NLRB 359 (1994). Accordingly, it is hereby directed that **within 7 days** of the date of this

---

<sup>6</sup> The Petitioner and Intervenor agree that the construction industry eligibility formula set forth in *Daniel Construction Co.*, 133 NLRB 264 (1961), is applicable to this case, and I find that formula to be appropriate.

<sup>7</sup> If the election involves professional and nonprofessional employees, it is requested that separate lists be submitted for each voting group.

Decision, **3** copies of an election eligibility list, containing the full names and addresses of all the eligible voters, shall be filed by the Employer with the undersigned who shall make the list available to all parties to the election. The list must be of sufficient clarity to be clearly legible. The list may be submitted by facsimile transmission, in which case only one copy need be submitted. In order to be timely filed, such list must be received in the **DETROIT REGIONAL OFFICE** on or before **July 17, 2003** . No extension of time to file this list shall be granted except in extraordinary circumstances, nor shall the filing of a request for review operate to stay the requirement here imposed.

#### **RIGHT TO REQUEST REVIEW**

Under the provisions of Section 102.67 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, a request for review of this Decision may be filed with the National Labor Relations Board, addressed to the **Executive Secretary, Franklin Court, 1099 14th Street N.W., Washington D.C. 20570**. This request must be received by the Board in Washington by, **July 24, 2003**.

**Section 103.20 of the Board's Rule concerns the posting of election notices. Your attention is directed to the attached copy of that Section.**

Dated at Detroit, Michigan, this 10<sup>th</sup> day of July 2003.

(SEAL)

/s/ Stephen M. Glasser

Stephen M. Glasser, Regional Director  
National Labor Relations Board-Region 7  
Patrick V. McNamara Federal Building  
477 Michigan Avenue –Room 300  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

#### Classifications

347 4030 1800 0000

324 4040 3300 0000